

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,662	03/01/2004	David J. Chaplin	OXGN-019.US1	9569	
75605 OXIGENE, IN	7590 09/03/201 IC	EXAM	EXAMINER		
C/O LAW OFFICES OF KAREN E. FLICK			HUI, SAN	HUI, SAN MING R	
P.O. BOX 515 EL GRANDA.	. CA 94108-0515	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		1628			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/03/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

13. Other: ____.

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/790,662	CHAPLIN ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
San-ming Hui	1628				

	San-ming Hui	1628						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 12 August 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.						
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED V MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date								
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex- under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	nally set in the final Office	e action; or (2) as					
	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	iled within two month	e of the date of					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I 			cause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		E below);						
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet		lucina or eimplifyina t	he leeuee for					
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	rucing or annipinying t	116 133463 101					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. 		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>40</u> .								
Claim(s) rejected: 4.10.12-16.34-39.41.42.57 and 58.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but 								
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. Main The request for reconsideration has been considered bu. The proposed amendments filed 81/22/010 changes the the examiner. Since the prosecution of the case is close directed to the amended claims according to the propose because the amendments will not be entered. Terminal	escope of the claims and therefore ed, the amendments will not be ente ed amendments filed 8/12/2010, su disclaimer filed 8/12/2010 is acknow	raises new concerns a ered. Since the arguments are cor	and issues for ents are nsidered moot					
Once it is approved, the obviousness double patenting rejectio will be withdrawn. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
Control of the c	(· · - · / 00) · apo(0)							

/San-ming Hui/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1628

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100902